

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/910,475	07/20/2001	Simon Blair Dobson	60130-1168/99MRA0172	1631
26096 7	590 09/17/2002			
CARLSON, GASKEY & OLDS, P.C.			EXAMINER	
400 WEST MA SUITE 350			SMITH, TY	RONE W
BIRMINGHAM, MI 48009			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2837	
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			R			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del>- 9</del>			
•	09/910,475	DOBSON, SIMON	BLAIR			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Tyrone W Smith	2837				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	t with the correspondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a r  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, ma eply within the statutory minimum of od will apply and will expire SIX (6) f ute, cause the application to becom	y a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this cor e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims	_					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the applicat						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	rawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	inor					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2001 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35·U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eian priority under 35 U.S.	.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	ingin priority arraot to the	(2)				
1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority docume	ents have been received.					
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional	application).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notic	view Summary (PTO-413) Paper No( e of Informal Patent Application (PTo				
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office						

Art Unit: 2837

## **DETAILED ACTION**

- 1. The drawings are objected to because 1) item numbers for Figures 1-3 should be labeled to better understand the claimed invention 2) C1/C2 is described as a load cell while 24/26 is described as the mounts, in Figures 1 and 2 describes no distinguishing difference between the two. Either describe the two as mounts or cells. 3) In Figure 1 where CG is described as the center of gravity, the Examiner request that the Applicant either take out the term from the specification/drawing or expound on why this is important or relevant to describe the center of gravity relationship between the motor and gearbox. A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

3. Claims 1 and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Claim 1 where it states, "the mounting system including one or more measurement cells for measuring, in use, at least one parameter of the closure systems, in use the closure system being subjected to acceleration and being arranged such that it is possible to at least partially distinguish forces applied to the closure by the actuator from acceleration forces

Art Unit: 2837

applied to the closure as a result of the accelerations of the closure systems by consideration of the measured at least one parameter." This is also reiterated in claim 19

- 1) Applicant should explain/expound on what is at least one parameter of the closure systems. Is the parameter measuring torque, acceleration, and etc. please advise.
- 2) The statement/claim of the closure system being subjected to <u>acceleration being</u> <u>arranged such that it is possible to at least partially distinguish forces applied to the closure by the actuator from acceleration forces applied to the closure as a result of the accelerations of the closure system by consideration of the <u>measured at least one parameter</u>. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English (US) from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors. The Examiner request that the Applicant change/modify the claims to give a more descriptive or understanding of the claims.</u>
- 3) The term <u>in use</u> have no relevance to claim 1, if the cells is measuring the closure system it would occur when the system is in use, this should be taken out when claim one is modified. Further, in claim 1 there is a description of a closure systems then later a closure system. Examiner requests the Applicant to modify the claim.

Applicant should note that claims dependent on the independent claims are also rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph.

4 Examiner's rejection of claims is with the best intention/knowledge of the invention/claims. Applicant must take note of the prior rejections and make corrections to the application for apt prosecution of case.

Art Unit: 2837

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 1-21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ohiro et al (JP-09-328964; also refer to Kume et al (6). Ohiro discloses a window opening/closing device, which includes a rotational speed sensor considered to meet the claimed measurement cell(s) (Figure 1 #18) mounted on to the actuator, control section (Figure 1 #30), motor (Figure 1 # 18), a system for manual movement of the window apparatus with a worm gear (Figure 1 items 20, 22, 24, 26 and 28). The motor and the system for manual movement of the window apparatus with a worm gear perform as an actuator. The control section (Figure 7 #70) includes a pulse period calculator (Figure 7 #72) for calculating the period pulses based on the pulses from the rotational speed sensor (Figure 7 #29), the jamming determination unit (Figure 1 #74) determines whether an object is jammed between the window glass and frame. The jamming determination calculates the load (force) based on the signal from the pulse period calculator by way of the speed sensor and judges that an object is jammed when the load exceeds a preset threshold (this is also illustrated in Figures 6, 8, 9 and 10; and refer to column 9 lines 7-59). After detection the jam determination section sends a signal to the drive control means (Figure 7 #80) to control the motor and movement apparatus for stoppage or reversal.
- 7. Claims 1-21 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tajima et al (5832664). Tajima discloses a power window device with a safety device, which includes a

Art Unit: 2837

motor (Figure(s) 1 and 5 #9), a system for manual movement of the window apparatus with a worm gear (Figure 1 and Figure 5 #1), position sensor (Figure(s) 1 and 5 #8), thrust load sensor (Figure 5 # 24) and a motor drive circuit (Figure 5 #32). The motor and the system for manual movement of the window apparatus with a worm gear perform as an actuator. The position sensor and the thrust sensor considered to meet the claimed measurement cells. The position sensor, connected to the actuator, senses the position of the window apparatus (column 3 lines 1-65). The thrust sensor, connected to the actuator, senses the thrust load (force) on the rotary shaft of the motor as a drive source for the window (column 3 lines 66-67 and column 4 lines 1-49; Figure(s) 3b, 3c, 7 and 8). The position sensor and the thrust sensor send signals to the microcomputer (Figure 5 #30) for detecting the safety control region from the open/closed state of the window and another system for detecting the nipping of the window frame. As stated in the above rejection the safety device in combination with the window glass which uses a motor for supplying a force for raising and lowering the window glass; the motor uses a rotary shaft that receives a thrust load (force) when an object is nipped by the window glass.

- 8. Examiner also refers Applicant to Redelberger (5801501), which teaches an arrangement for moving window panes in a motor vehicle. This is considered to be relevant prior.ort.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tyrone W Smith whose telephone number is 703-306-5987. The examiner can normally be reached on weekdays from 8:30am to 5:00pm.

Art Unit: 2837

Page 6

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Nappi, can be reached on (703) 308-3370. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-308-3431.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1782.

Tyrone Smith

Art Unit 2837

BOBERT E. NAPPI SUPERVISORY PATENT EVAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800